

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,737		11/18/2003	Christopher Peterson	1827.1002	6786
21831	7590	02/16/2006		EXAM	INER
		ASKIN, P.C.	DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE		
	140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3754	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/716,737	PETERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	<u> August 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-4, 6-12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •					
application from the International Burea	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)	n □ · .	· (DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal C 6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	Action Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060209				

Application/Control Number: 10/716,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burch in view of Ordendorff et al.

Burch shows a container 10, frame portions 11, 12 having apertures 11b, area within 39, and locking means 39. Burch lacks dispensing means. Orendorff et al show dispensing means 44 to drain the liquid out of the container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Burch container with a dispenser as taught by Orendorff et al to drain the liquid from the container.

Re claims 15-18 the Burch/Orendorff et al apparatus discloses the claimed invention except for specific shape of the frame portions. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Burch/Orendorff et al frame portions in the shape rectangular, circular, triangular and irregular and the apertures the same shape as the frame portion or a different shape from the frame portions, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-22 are allowed.

Claims 2-4 and 6-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that Ordendorff does not teach a dispenser. Ordenhoff clearly teachs a drain plug 44 to drain/dispense a liquid from a container.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MIZIPPE S DERAKSHAN

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3754

PD 2/9/06